ATTORNEY DOCKET NO: 71084

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : ABELS et al. Serial No : 10/632,017

Confirm. No: 9343

Filed : July 31, 2003

For : BALL-AND-SOCKET JOINT

Art Unit : 3679

Examiner : Ernesto Garcia Dated : January 11, 2007

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF

In response to the Examiner's Answer of November 16, 2006 Applicant hereby replies.

102(b) rejection - Yao

The Examiner's Answer states that the sliding ring A1 as identified by the Examiner, which is <u>embedded</u> in the sealing bellows, rotates along with the sealing bellows. However, Yao fails to disclose a sliding ring that is <u>mounted to slide</u> in a ball race that is fixed to the ball pivot. In the present invention, the sliding ring receives the pivot-side edge of the sealing bellows (Claim 1, line 8: "a sliding ring receiving said pivot-side edge area of said sealing bellows"). The sliding ring of the present invention <u>slides</u> within the ball race. This is a different approach than that of Yao. In Yao, the embedded element (A1) fails to slide in the ring collar 13 since the embedded element (A1) is surrounded by the sealing bellows 8. Even

if the embedded element (A1) could slide within the ring collar 13, the sealing bellows 8 would

wear immediately and would constantly have to be lubricated due to the significantly high

friction of the rubber contact surface. In contrast to Yao, the sliding ring of Appellant's

invention is mounted so that the sliding ring slides within the ball race, which does not require

lubrication and advantageously reduces friction. Further, Yao fails to disclose a sliding ring

having a sliding surface facing a joint ball arranged adjacent to the ball race (Claim 1, lines 9-10:

"said sliding ring having a sliding surface facing the joint ball arranged adjacent to the ball

race"). Applicant fails to see how the embedded surface (as indicated by the Examiner as A9)

of the embedded element (A1) has a sliding surface. The embedded surface (A9) of the

embedded element fails to slide within the dust cover 8.

As to the other points raised in the Examiner's Answer these are already addressed in

Appellant's Appeal Brief of August 31, 2006.

For all the above reasons and those stated in Appellant's Appeal Brief, the Board is

respectfully requested to overturn the rejections in the last Office Action.

Further action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted

for Applicant,

By:

John James McGlew

Registration No. 31,903

McGLEW AND TUTTLE, P.C.

2

JJM:BMD 71084-18

DATED: January 11, 2007

BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NEW YORK 10510-9227

(914) 941-5600

SHOULD ANY OTHER FEE BE REQUIRED, THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IS HEREBY REQUESTED TO CHARGE SUCH FEE TO OUR DEPOSIT ACCOUNT 13-0410.